# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

**BMC** Anesthesiology



Remimazolam-remifentanil causes less postoperative nausea and vomiting than remimazolam-alfentanil during hysteroscopy: a single-centre randomized controlled trial

Xiaoqiang Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>, Shuang Li<sup>1</sup> and Jing Liu<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Although the operation time of hysteroscopy is short, the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting is high. The aim of this study was to compare the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting in hysteroscopy when remimazolam is combined with remifentanil or alfentanil.

**Methods** We conducted a randomized, controlled, double-blind trial. Patients undergoing hysteroscopy were recruited and randomly assigned to either the remimazolam-remifentanil (Group RR) or the remimazolam-alfentanil group (Group RA). All patients in the two groups were started with an induction dose of remimazolam besylate 0.2 mg/kg and then maintained with a dosage of 1.0 mg/kg/h. After induction with remimazolam besylate, in Group RR, remifentanil was infused using a target-controlled infusion system with a target concentration of 1.5 ng/ml and titrated throughout the procedure. In Group RA, infusion of alfentanil was started with an initial bolus dose of 20  $\mu$ g/kg over 30 s and then maintained at an initial rate of 0.16  $\mu$ g/kg/min. The primary observation outcome was the incidence rate of postoperative nausea and vomiting. The secondary observation outcomes were the time to awakening, the length of stay in the PACU, the total remimazolam dose and adverse effects, such as low SpO<sub>2</sub>, bradycardia, hypotension and body movement.

**Results** A total of 204 patients were successfully included in this study. The incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting in Group RR (2/102, 2.0%) was significantly lower than that in Group RA (12/102, 11.8%) (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse events, such as low SpO<sub>2</sub>, bradycardia, hypotension and body movement, between Groups RR and RA (p > 0.05).

**Conclusions** Remimazolam-remifentanil causes less postoperative nausea and vomiting than remimazolamalfentanil in hysteroscopy.

**Trial registration** Clinical trial registration number: ChiCTR2100044177. Full date of the first registration: 12/03/2021. **Keywords** Anaesthesia, Remimazolam, Remifentanil, Alfentanil, Postoperative nausea and vomiting, Hysteroscopy

\*Correspondence: Xiaoqiang Zhang zxqahl@126.com <sup>1</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Mengcheng County No. 1 People's Hospital, Mengcheng 233500, Anhui Province, P.R. China



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Background

The number of operations performed using minimally invasive surgical techniques under general anaesthesia has steadily increased, which has many advantages, but postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) remains one of the most common complications [1, 2]. Apfel et al. found that the four risk factors of PONV included in the simple sum score were the female sex, a prior history of motion sickness or PONV, nonsmoking, and the use of postoperative opioids. If none, one, two, three or four of these risk factors were present, the incidence of PONV was 10, 21, 39, 61 and 79%, respectively [3]. Although the operation time of hysteroscopy is short, the incidence of PONV is high [4].

Short-acting opioids such as remifentanil and alfentanil are widely used in fast-track surgeries and hysteroscopy [5–8]. Remimazolam is a new type of benzodiazepine drug that produces sedation and amnesia and is widely used preoperatively, in endoscopic anaesthesia and general anaesthesia induction maintenance, and in intensive care units [9]. Research has shown that compared with propofol, remimazolam besylate combined with remifentanil is a safer anaesthetic substitute in hysteroscopy [10]. However, there is a lack of research on the impact of remimazolam combined with opioids on the incidence of PONV.

The aim of this study was to compare the incidence of PONV in hysteroscopy when remimazolam is combined with remifentanil or alfentanil.

## Methods

#### Ethics approval and trial registration

This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Mengcheng County No. 1 People's Hospital (2021MYL21003) and was registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (https://www.chictr. org.cn) on 12/03/2021. The registration number was ChiCTR2100044177. Written informed consent was obtained from the eligible participants in the ward the night before hysteroscopy at Mengcheng County No. 1 People's Hospital from 15/08/2021 to 20/06/2022.

# Patient inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age between 18 and 65 years; (2) American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II; and (3) body mass index (BMI) of 19 to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) history of alcoholism; (2) allergy to general anaesthetic drugs; (3) presence of renal or liver disease; (4) difficulty with communication; (5) current lactation; and (6) respiratory infection within a week.

#### Randomization

Patients were randomly assigned to the remimazolamremifentanil group (Group RR) or the remimazolamalfentanil group (Group RA) by a computer-generated random assignment sequence created by an independent researcher using Excel 2010 (Microsoft Office) with two sets of assignments and random block sizes.

#### Preoperative preparation and monitoring

All patients fasted routinely 8–12 h before surgery. On arrival in the operating room, a Bene View N15 monitor (Mindray Biomedical Electronics Co., Shenzhen, China) was connected to monitor the electrocardiogram (ECG), noninvasive blood pressure (NIBP) including systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR) and SpO<sub>2</sub>. The SedLine<sup>™</sup> monitor (Masimo SedLine<sup>®</sup>, Masimo Co., US)-derived patient state index (PSI) was used to follow the depth of sedation [11–13]. All patients inhaled oxygen (2 L/min) through nasal oxygen prongs before anaesthesia induction.

#### Grouping and intervention

All patients in both Group RR and Group RA were started with an induction dose of remimazolam besylate (Yichang Humanwell Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China) 0.2 mg/kg, followed by a maintenance dosage of 1.0 mg/ kg/h by continuous IV infusion until the loss of consciousness (absence of eyelash reflex) [10, 14]. When the PSI was  $\leq$ 58 [11–13], hysteroscopy was started. If the PSI was  $\geq$ 58, supplemental remimazolam was added at 2.5 mg/dose, with no more than 5 doses administered within 15 min, according to the instructions of the supplemental drug programme [15].

In Group RR, after induction with remimazolam besylate and confirmation of the absence of the eyelash reflex, infusion with remifentanil (Yichang Humanwell Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) was started with a TCI pump (Guangxi VERYARK Technology Co., Ltd., China), and the effective effect-site concentration (Ce) (Minto pharmacokinetic model) was 1.5 ng/ml [16]. Remifentanil was increased by 0.5 ng/ml when analgesia was insufficient (facial grimace, movement, SBP>140 mmHg, HR>100 beats/min (bpm) or sudden increase of more than 30 bpm over baseline) and was decreased by 0.5 ng/ml with signs of excessive analgesia (respiratory depression, hypotension, or bradycardia) [17].

In Group RA, after induction with remimazolam besylate and confirmation of the absence of the eyelash reflex, infusion with alfentanil (Yichang Humanwell Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) was started with an initial bolus dose of 20  $\mu$ g/kg over 30 s and then maintained at an initial rate of 0.16  $\mu$ g/kg/min [8]. The infusion rate of opioids was adjusted according to clinical needs: if the HR or BP increased by more than 30% compared with the level after induction, 10  $\mu$ g/kg alfentanil was added. This process was repeated if necessary. If the HR and BP remained unchanged for 20 min or decreased, the opioid infusion rate of alfentanil was decreased by 0.8  $\mu$ g/kg/min [18].

### Outcomes

#### Primary outcome

The primary outcome of this study was the incidence of PONV. All patients in the PACU were continuously observed, and PONV was recorded by a dedicated person in the case of vomiting or active expression of nausea; however, there was no inductive questioning of the patients.

#### Secondary outcomes

The time to awakening, the length of stay in the PACU and the total remimazolam dose were recorded as the secondary outcomes.

The incidence of adverse events, such as low SpO<sub>2</sub> (intraoperative SpO<sub>2</sub>≤95%), bradycardia (intraoperative HR<55 bpm), hypotension (intraoperative SBP<90 mmHg), and body movement (visible hand bending or head movement) was also recorded. These events were treated by injecting ephedrine or atropine intravenously or through mask ventilation.

Patient data fluctuations included the mean arterial pressure (MAP) (MAP =  $(SBP+2 \times DBP)/3$ ), HR, RR, SpO<sub>2</sub>, and PSI before anaesthesia (T0), at 2 min post induction (T1), at cervical dilatation (T2), at the end of the operation (T3), and at awakening (T4).

# Sample size and statistical analysis

SPSS statistics 17.0.1 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois) was used for statistical analysis. For data analysis, the normality test in SPSS statistical software was used to determine whether the data conformed to a normal distribution. Continuous variables with a normal distribution are expressed as the mean±standard deviation and were analysed by Student's t test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables with a nonnormal distribution. Haemodynamic parameters were compared with repeated ANOVA measurements. Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies (percentages) and were analysed using the Pearson chi-square test. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare continuous variables. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Because the incidence of PONV caused by different risk factors varies, we assumed that the incidence rate of PONV would be decreased from 39 to 19% in this study. A sample size of 102 participants in each group was calculated, and the significance level was 0.05 ( $\alpha$ =0.05).

Given a 10% attrition rate, the strength was 80% ( $\beta$ =0.20) [19].

## Results

The study population comprised 204 randomly coded patients in Group RR (n=102) and Group RA (n=102) (Fig. 1).

The demographic and surgical characteristics of the patients are listed in Table 1. The characteristics of patients in the two groups were similar.

PONV occurred on 2 (2.0%) occasions in group RR and 12 (11.8%) occasions in group RA (p=0.006), and spontaneously resolved without drug treatment in all cases in the two groups (Table 2). In this study, both groups of patients had an Apfel score of more than 2 in the PONV risk assessment, representing a medium to high risk of PONV.

The time to awakening in Group RR ( $200.6\pm94.6$  s) was significantly shorter than that in Group RA ( $283.5\pm183.1$  s) (p<0.001). However, there was no significant difference in the length of stay in the PACU or the total remimazolam dose between Groups RR and RA. There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse events, such as low SpO<sub>2</sub>, bradycardia, hypotension and body movement, between Groups RR and RA.

Compared with those at T0, the MAP, HR, RR and SpO<sub>2</sub> were all reduced in the two groups at T1-4 (Fig. 2). The two groups showed similar MAP, HR, RR and SpO<sub>2</sub> values, with little fluctuation.

### PSI

To achieve a more objective determination of the depth of conscious sedation than the MOAA/S score, we adopted the method of monitoring the PSI. Previous studies have confirmed that there is a significantly strong correlation between the PSI and both the Ramsay and OAAS scales [20, 21]. In our study, all selected patients successfully underwent sedation anaesthesia and hysteroscopy. No patients in either group needed further medication or withdrew from this study due to insufficient anaesthesia depth.

During hysteroscopy, the PSI values in both groups were similar and showed sufficient and effective anaesthesia depth (Fig. 2).

#### Discussion

Our trial compared the incidence of PONV between remimazolam-remifentanil and remimazolam-alfentanil in hysteroscopy. Based on our data, remimazolam-remifentanil causes less PONV than remimazolam-alfentanil in hysteroscopy.

During the period of this study, we did not observe any serious side effects in either patient group or side effects requiring withdrawal from this study. The incidence of



Fig. 1 CONSORT diagram of patient recruitment

PONV in Group RR (2/102, 2.0%) was significantly lower than that in Group RA (12/102, 11.8%) (p<0.05). There was no significant difference in the incidence of adverse events, such as low SpO<sub>2</sub>, bradycardia, hypotension and body movement, between Groups RR and RA. The two groups showed similar MAP, HR, RR and SpO<sub>2</sub> values, with little fluctuation.

In previous research by Yi et al., the incidence of PONV in the PACU after anaesthesia with remimazolam combined with alfentanil reached 50% [7]. Their result differs greatly from the results observed in our study. Their research protocol has some differences from ours such as the dosage of alfentanil was relatively high, used muscle relaxants, tracheal intubation was performed, long surgical time, etc. However, whether these differences contributed to differences in experimental results requires further research.

Remimazolam is a new ultra-short-acting benzodiazepine and has the advantages of a rapid onset, high clearance rate (1.14 L/min), and metabolic process that

 Table 1
 Demographic characteristics for each group

	Group RR (n = 102)	Group RA (n = 102)	p value
Age, mean±SD, year	$43.3 \pm 7.7$	$42.28 \pm 8.4$	0.692
Height, mean±SD, cm	$159.2 \pm 4.7$	$158.4 \pm 4.6$	0.691
Weight, mean±SD, kg	$62.8\pm7.8$	$61.6 \pm 7.0$	0.256
BMI, mean $\pm$ SD, kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$24.7\pm2.8$	$24.5 \pm 2.4$	0.073
ASA, n(%)			
I	97(84.3)	86(95.1)	
II	5(15.7)	16(4.9)	
Duration of operation, mean $\pm$ SD, min	9.6±4.2	8.9±3.7	0.241
Total alfentanil, mean $\pm$ SD, ug		$894.4 \pm 194.2$	

Note: ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists, BMI body mass index, SD standard deviation, Group RR remimazolam-remifentanil group, Group RA remimazolam-alfentanil group

**Table 2** Primary and secondary outcomes for each group

	Group RR $(N = 102)$	Group RA (n = 102)	p value
Primary outcome	(11-102)	(11-102)	
PONV n(%)	2 (2.0%)	12 (11.7%)	0.006*
Secondary outcomes			
Time to awakening,	$200.6 \pm 94.6$	283.5±183.1	< 0.001*
mean±SD, s			
PACU length of stay,	$354.1 \pm 66.8$	371.8±67.0	0.639
mean±SD, s			
Total remimazolam,	$22.3 \pm 4.7$	$21.7 \pm 4.5$	0.722
mean±SD, mg			
Adverse events, n(%)			
Low SpO <sub>2</sub>	15 (14.7%)	22 (21.6%)	0.203
Bradycardia	3 (2.9%)	3 (2.9%)	1.000
Hypotension	8 (7.8%)	10 (9.8%)	0.622
Body movement	30 (29.4%)	27 (26.5%)	0.640
Total remifentanil, mean±SD,	69.1±21.6		

μg

Note: *PONV* Postoperative nausea and vomiting, *SD* Standard deviation, *PACU* post anaesthesia care unit, *Group RR* remimazolam-remifentanil group, *Group RA* remimazolam-alfentanil group

is independent of liver and kidney function [21]. In a study by Hari et al., compared to general anaesthesia with desflurane, anaesthesia with remimazolam can reduce the incidence of PONV following laparoscopic gynaecological surgery [22]. Research has confirmed that the prophylactic administration of midazolam reduced the incidence of PONV [23]. Similarly, based on the low incidence of PONV in our study, whether remimazolam can also reduce the incidence of PONV, similar to midazolam, requires further research. When dexmedetomidine or ketamine is used with opioids, the incidence of PONV can be reduced to some extent [24, 25]. More clinical trials are needed to investigate the differences in efficacy, incidence of PONV, and incidence of other side effects with the use of remimazolam and other categories of sedative drugs.

Previous studies have compared the incidence of PONV between remiferitanil and alfentanil [26, 27]. Due to differences in research methods, types of surgery, drug combination and other aspects, the results of these comparisons of PONV between remifentanil and alfentanil are also different. Remifentanil and alfentanil are both ultra - short - acting opioid drugs, but there are subtle differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. The central clearance rate of remifentanil is significantly higher than alfentanil(2.9 vs. 0.36 L/min), and the terminal half-life of remifentanil is 35.1 min, while that of alfentanil is 94.5 min [28, 29]. Remimazolam is a new ultra-short-acting benzodiazepine wich have a high clearance rate (1.14 L/min) [21]. Regarding the difference in the incidence of PONV in our study, we speculate that the reason is that remimazolam and remifentanil were cleared synchronously; however, remimazolam and alfentanil cannot be cleared synchronously after drug withdrawal. Further research is needed to determine whether the differences in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the two drugs lead to differences in the incidence of PONV and whether they can have similar effects in longer surgeries or other operations.

There are some limitations to this study. This was a single-centre investigation, which limited the statistical analysis of the two groups of patients. Because remimazolam is a newly marketed drug, to ensure the safety of patients, we selected populations with low risk when designing the inclusion criteria for the trial, and the conclusion should be interpreted with caution. Additionally, we only evaluated the occurrence of PONV in the PACU and did not evaluate the occurrence of PONV within 24 h after surgery. Our main concern in this study was the incidence of PONV, but we did not record arbitrary values on a numerical rating scale (NRS) ranging from 0 ("everything okay") to 10 ("vomiting") to assess the degree of PONV [30]. More comprehensive studies are needed to evaluate the incidence of PONV in this context.

### Conclusions

Remimazolam-remifentanil causes less PONV than remimazolam-alfentanil in hysteroscopy. This was a single-centre study that had some limitations, and multicentre studies are recommended to obtain more relevant conclusions.



Fig. 2 Changes in MAP, HR, RR, SpO<sub>2</sub> and PSI

Changes in MAP (**a**), HR (**b**), RR (**c**), SpO<sub>2</sub> (**d**) and PSI (**e**). MAP, HR: Normal distribution, mean, and SD. SpO<sub>2</sub>, RR, PSI: Nonnormal distribution, median, and upper/lower limit. T0, before anaesthesia, T1, at 2 minutes post induction, T2, at cervical dilatation, T3, at the end of the operation, and T4, at awakening. Group RR, remimazolam-remifentanil group, Group RA, remimazolam-alfentanil group. The two groups showed similar MAP, HR, RR and SpO<sub>2</sub> values with little fluctuation. During hysteroscopy, the PSI values in both groups were similar and showed sufficient and effective anaesthesia depth

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12871-023-02164-3.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

# Authors' contributions

Shuang Li, Xiaoqiang Zhang and Jing Liu designed the study. Shuang Li and Jing Liu recruited patients. Shuang Li performed statistical processing and wrote the manuscript. Xiaoqiang Zhang revised the manuscript. All authors are aware of and responsible for the research data. All authors read and approved the manuscript in its final version.

#### Funding

This study was self-financed.

#### Data Availability

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to institutional restrictions but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Mengcheng County No. 1 People's Hospital (2021MYL21003) and was registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (https://www.chictr.org. cn) on 12/03/2021. The registration number was ChiCTR2100044177. Written informed consent was obtained from the eligible participants in the ward the night before hysteroscopy at Mengcheng County No. 1 People's Hospital from 15/08/2021 to 20/06/2022. The study protocol followed the CONSORT guidelines. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Received: 6 January 2023 / Accepted: 6 June 2023 Published online: 12 June 2023

#### References

- Gupta AnilWuCL, Elkassabany Nabil, et al. Does the routine prophylactic use of antiemetics affect the incidence of postdischarge nausea and vomiting following ambulatory surgery?: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. [J] Anesthesiology. 2003;99:488–95.
- Duleba Antoni I-KN, Mrozikiewicz Aleksandra J, et al. Relationship of Postoperative Pain and PONV after minimally invasive surgery with the serotonin concentrations and receptors' gene Polymorphisms[. J] J Pers Med. 2021;11:undefined.
- Apfel CC, Läärä E, Koivuranta M, et al. A simplified risk score for predicting postoperative nausea and vomiting: conclusions from cross-validations between two centers.[J]. Anesthesiology. 1999;91(3):693–700.
- Moharram Ehab E, El Attar Ahmed M, Kamel Moustafa. A, the impact of anesthesia on hemodynamic and volume changes in operative hysteroscopy: a bioimpedance randomized study[. J] J Clin Anesth. 2017;38:59–67.
- Park Seongjoo, Choi Soo-Lyoen, Nahm Francis Sahngun. Dexmedetomidineremifentanil vs propofol-remifentanil for monitored anesthesia care during hysteroscopy: Randomized, single-blind. controlled trial [J] Medicine (Baltimore). 2020;99:e22712.

- Mandel Jeff E. Considerations for the use of short-acting opioids in general anesthesia[. J] J Clin Anesth. 2014;26:1–7.
- Yi Fuxia,Xiao Hongyi,Zhu Teng. Prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting after gynaecological day surgery under remimazolam general anesthesia: a randomized double-blind controlled study[. J] BMC Anesthesiol. 2022;22:292.
- Dogru K, Madenoglu H, Yildiz K, et al. Sedation for outpatient endometrial biopsy: comparison of remifentanil-propofol and alfentanil-propofol[. J] J Int Med Res. 2003;31:31–5.
- 9. Sneyd JR. Rigby-Jones Ann E,Remimazolam for anaesthesia or sedation. [J]. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2020;33:506–11.
- Zhang X, Li S, Liu J. Efficacy and safety of remimazolam besylate versus propofol during hysteroscopy: single-centre randomized controlled trial[J]. BMC Anesthesiol, 2021, 21(1).
- Chernik DA, Gillings D, Laine H, et al. Validity and reliability of the Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation scale: study with intravenous midazolam. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 1990;10:244–51.
- Chisholm Christopher J, Dmitry, et al. Comparison of electrophysiologic monitors with clinical assessment of level of sedation.[J]. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006;81:46–52.
- Lee KH, Kim YH, Sung YJ, et al. The patient State Index is well balanced for propofol sedation[J]. Hippokratia. 2015;19(3):235–8.
- Sheng XY, Liang Y, Yang XY, Li LE, Ye X, Zhao X, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of single ascending dose and continuous infusion of remimazolam besylate in healthy chinese volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2020;76:383–91.
- 15. Keam SJ. Remimazolam: first approval. Drugs. 2020;80:625-33.
- Minto CF, Schnider TW, Egan TD, Youngs E, Lemmens HJ, Gambus PL, et al. Influence of age and gender on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of remifentanil. I. Model development. Anesthesiology. 1997;86:10–23.
- Hese LV, Theys T, Absalom AR, et al. Comparison of predicted and real propofol and remifentanil concentrations in plasma and brain tissue during target controlled infusion: a prospective observational study[J]. Anaesthesia; 2020.
- Crozier TA, Kietzmann D, D?Bereiner. B. Mood change after anaesthesia with remifentanil or alfentanil[J]. European Journal of Anaesthesiology, 2004, 21(1):20.
- Caputo Thomas D, Ramsay Michael AE, Rossmann Jeffrey A, et al. Evaluation of the SEDline to improve the safety and efficiency of conscious sedation.[J]. Proc. (Bayl Univ Med Cent). 2011;24:200–4.

- 20. Ye T, Yi Y. Sample size calculations in clinical research, third edition, by Shein-Chung Chow, Jun Shao, Hansheng Wang, and Yuliya Lokhnygina. Stat Theory Relat Fields. 2017;1:265–6.
- Schüttler J, Eisenried A, Lerch M, Fechner J, Jeleazcov C, Ihmsen H. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of remimazolam (CNS 7056) after continuous infusion in healthy male volunteers: part I. pharmacokinetics and clinical pharmacodynamics. Anesthesiology. 2020;132:636–51.
- Hari Yuki, Satomi Shiho, Murakami Chiaki. Remimazolam decreased the incidence of early postoperative nausea and vomiting compared to desflurane after laparoscopic gynecological surgery[. J] J Anesth. 2022;36:265–9.
- Ahn Eun Jin, Kang Hyun, Choi Geun Joo. The effectiveness of Midazolam for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. [J] Anesth Analg. 2016;122:664–76.
- 24. Zhou Lijin,Yang Honghao,Hai Yong et al. Perioperative Low-Dose Ketamine for Postoperative Pain Management in Spine Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.[J].Pain Res Manag et al. 2022, 2022: 1507097.
- Beloeil Helene, Garot Matthias, Lebuffe Gilles. Balanced opioid-free anesthesia with Dexmedetomidine versus Balanced Anesthesia with Remifentanil for Major or. Intermediate Noncardiac Surgery [J] Anesthesiology. 2021;134:541–51.
- Ozkose Z, Cok OY, Tuncer B et al. Comparison of hemodynamics, recovery profile, and early postoperative pain control and costs of remifentanil versus alfentanil-based total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA)[J]. 2002, 14(3):0–168.
- Langevin S, Lessard M R, Trépanier CA et al. Alfentanil causes less postoperative nausea and vomiting than equipotent doses of fentanyl or sufentanil in outpatients. [J]. Anesthesiology, 1999, 91: 1666–73.
- 28. Egan, Talmage D et al. Remifentanil Versus Alfentanil[J]. Anesthesiology, 1996.
- 29. Wilhelm Wolfram, Kreuer Sascha, The place for short-acting opioids: special emphasis on remifentanil.[J].Crit Care, 2008, null: S5.
- Schulz Hapfelmeier Alexander HF, et al. A period of immobility after remifentanil administration protects from nausea: an experimental randomized cross-over study. [J] BMC Anesthesiol. 2016;16:90.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.