RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Dexmedetomidine prevents acute kidney injury after adult cardiac surgery: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials

Yang Liu, Bo Sheng, Suozhu Wang, Feiping Lu, Jie Zhen and Wei Chen 🐌

Abstract

Background: Dexmedetomidine has been shown to confer direct renoprotection by stabilizing the sympathetic system, exerting anti-inflammatory effects and attenuating ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury in preclinical studies. Results from clinical trials of dexmedetomidine on acute kidney injury (AKI) following adult cardiac surgery are controversial.

Methods: We searched EMBASE, PubMed, and Cochrane CENTRAL databases for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing the renal effect of dexmedetomidine versus placebo or other anesthetic drugs in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery. The primary outcome was the incidence of AKI. The secondary outcomes were mechanical ventilation (MV) duration, intensive care unit (ICU) stay and hospital length of stay(LOS), and postoperative mortality (in-hospital or within 30 days).

Results: Ten trials with a total of 1575 study patients were selected. Compared with controls, dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the incidence of postoperative AKI [68/788 vs 97/787; odds ratio(OR), 0.65; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.45–0.92; P = 0. 02; $I^2 = 0.0\%$], and there was no difference between groups in postoperative mortality

(4/487 vs 11/483; OR, 0.43; 95% Cl, 0.14–1.28; P = 0.13; $I^2 = 0.0\%$), MV duration [in days; n = 1229; weighted mean difference(WMD), -0.22; 95% Cl, -2.04 to 1.70; P = 0.81], ICU stay (in days; n = 1363; WMD, -0.85; 95% Cl, -2.14 to 0.45; P = 0.20), and hospital LOS (in days; n = 878; WMD, -0.24; 95% Cl, -0.71 to 0.23; P = 0.32).

Conclusions: Perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery may reduce the incidence of postoperative AKI. Future trials are needed to determine the dose and timing of dexmedetomidine in improving outcomes, especially in patients with decreased baseline kidney function.

Keywords: Dexmedetomidine, Acute kidney injury, Cardiac surgery, Meta-analysis

Background

Acute kidney injury (AKI) following cardiac surgery is a widely recognized complication in association with high mortality risk [1, 2]. AKI is tightly interrelated with hemodynamic status, inflammatory and nephrotoxic components [3]. Both hemodynamic instability and sympathetic activity during surgery are harmful for renal function [4].Almost half of these patients need mechanical ventilation(MV) support and are related with prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stay [5, 6].Moreover, along with the increasing high-risk population including advanced age, diabetes mellitus, severe cardiac failure, especially in association with cardiopulmonary bypass, AKI after cardiac

* Correspondence: heart2008whu@163.com

surgery has become an interesting and challenge issue in clinical practice [7]. As yet, there is no definite strategy for preventing AKI after cardiac surgery [8].

Dexmedetomidine, a highly selective α^2 adrenoreceptor agonist, induces sedation, analgesia, hemodynamic stabilization, anti-inflammation, as well as diuresis [9], and has theoretical advantage for reducing renal injury in animal studies [10, 11]. Several single-center randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with relatively small sample size have addressed this question and the results are controversial [12–14]. Whether perioperative dexmedetomidine could reduce the risk for AKI in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery remains unclear. In addition, there has been no systematic review that comprehensively focuses on the potential renal effect of dexmedetomidine in adult cardiac surgery. Therefore, we



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Department of Intensive care unit, Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 10, Tieyi Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100038, China

conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of perioperative dexmedetomidine (compared to placebo or other drugs) on the risk for AKI and mortality.

Methods

Search strategy and study criteria

This meta-analysis was performed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Metaanalyses) guidelines [15] and approved by the Institutional Review Board in Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University. We did a systematic search in PubMed (1999 to March 2017), EMBASE (1999 to March 2017), and Cochrane Library (1999 to March 2017) using the keywords "dexmedetomidine," "cardiac surgery," "heart surgery," "kidney," and "renal." English-published RCTs concerning adult patients were included. Exclusion criteria were as follows: emergency surgery, or studies without reporting AKI incidence.

Literature review and data extraction

The literature review and data extraction were independently completed by 2 investigators (BS and SZW). In case of duplicate records pertaining to a single study, we considered the PubMed database to take precedence. Disagreements were handled by discussion for consensus. Quality assessment was completed using the Cochrane risk of bias tool and Jadad scale. Data extraction included patient characteristics (age, proportion of males, proportion with diabetes, proportion with history of myocardial infarction, proportion with hypertension, baseline left ventricular ejection fraction, baseline creatinine levels, β -blocker use, and statin use), as well as dexmedetomidine dosage.

Postoperative outcomes

The primary end point was incidence of AKI (defined as RIFLE, AKIN, KDIGO within 7 days after cardiac surgery). Secondary outcomes included all-cause mortality (in-hospital or within 30 days), mechanical ventilation(MV) duration, ICU length of stay, and hospital length of stay(LOS).

Statistical analysis

For dichotomous outcomes (reported with incidence), we calculated the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). For continuous outcomes (reported as mean ± standard deviation, median and interquartile range, or median and range), we calculated mean differences for each study according to the statistical method of Hozo et al. [16] and used weight (the inverse variance of the estimate) to pool the estimate (weighted mean difference, WMD) with 95% CI. We used the random-effect model to pool all the data for the potential clinical inconsistency. Heterogeneity was assessed with the inconsistency statistic (I^2). Publication bias was assessed by Begg's test and Egger's test. *P* < 0.05 (2 sided) was considered to be statistically significant for hypothesis



	nnic nazi	A LESIGN OF ILICIUN	משווח השקוווההוושי השה						
Study	Country	Surgery	Dexmedetomidine Dose	Control	Time and Duration of intervention or Control	No. of Patients	Clinical End Point	AKI Definition	Follow-Up
Balkanay2015 I [17]	Turkey	On-PUMP CABG	0.04µg/kg/h-0.05µg/kg/h	placebo	Start preCPB and last for 24 h	31 vs 28	AKI;MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	RIFLE	In hospital
Balkanay2015II [17]	Turkey	On-PUMP CABG	0.04µg/kg/h-0.05µg/kg/h	placebo	Start preCPB and last for 24 h	29 vs 28	AKI;MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	RIFLE	In hospital
Cho 2015 [12]	Korea	Combined	0.04µg/kg/h	placebo	Start immediately after anesthetic induction and last for 24 h	100 vs100	AKI; Mortality; ICU stay;	AKIN	In hospital
DjaianiG 2016 [18]	Canada	Combined	0.4µg/kg 0.2–0.7µg/kg/h	propofol	Start postsurgery and last for 24 h	91 vs 92	AKI; Mortality; MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	AN	In hospital
Leino 2011 [19]	Finland	On-PUMP CABG	0.6 ng/ml	placebo	Start immediately after anesthetic induction and last for 4 h arrive ICU	35 VS 31	AKI; MV duration;	RIFLE	In hospital
Li 2017 [14]	China	Combined	0.1µg/kg/h-0.6µg/kg/h	placebo	Start preCPB and last until the end of MV	142 vs143	AKI;MV duration; ICU stay	KDIGO	30 days after surgery
Liu 2016 [20]	China	Combined	<1.5µg/kg/h	propofol	Start after surgery and last until the end of MV	44 vs 44	AKI; Mortality; MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	AKIN	In hospital
Park 2014 [13]	Korea	Combined	0.5µg/kg 0.2–0.8µg/kg/h	remifentanil	Start after surgery and last until extubation	67 vs 75	AKI; MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	Cr > 100%above baseline or new dialysis need	In hospital
Shehabi2009 [21]	Australia	Combined	0.1–0.7µg/kg/ml	morphine	Start within 1 h of adminssin to CICU until the removal of chest drains	152 vs147	AKI; Mortality; MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	NA	12 days after surgery
Ammar 2016 [22]	Egypt	Combined	1 μg/kg over 15 min, followed by 0.5 μg/kg/h	placebo	Start preCPB and last until 6 h after surgery	25 vs 25	AKI; Mortality; MV duration; ICU stay; Hospital stay	NA	30 days after surgery
Soliman 2016 [23]	Egypt	Aortic vascular surgery	1 µg/kg 0.3 µg/kg/h	placebo	Start 15 min before induction maintained to the end of surgery	75 vs 75	AKI; Mortality;	Cr > 115 µmol/L	In hospital
Abbreviations: AKI Au available, Cr Creatin	cute kidney ine, <i>RIFLE</i> R	injury, CABG Coron 'isk-Injury-Failure-L	ary artery bypass graft, <i>CPB</i> oss-End-stage renal disease	Cardiopulmon. AKIN Acute K	ary bypass, ICU Intensive care unit, Cidnev Iniury Network, KDIGO Kidney	<i>CICU</i> Cardiac i Disease Impr	intensive care unit, <i>MV</i> Mecha roving Global Outcomes	anical ventilation, N	A Not

oizad Triale 7 בש הסקוות <u>ب</u> . ź 1+2 į. Table 1 S

Liu et al. BMC Anesthesiology (2018) 18:7

Table 2 Summarized	d patient c	haracteristic c	of the include	arandomize	ed trials						
Study	Age	Male (%)	DM (%)	HP (%)	PreMI (%)	LVEF (%)	CPB duration (min)	Anesthetics	Baseline Serum Creatinine	β-blocker (%)	Statins (%)
Balkanay 2015 I [17]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Balkanay 2015II [17]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Cho 2015 [12]	63	48	19.5	45.5	NA	61.5	131	Sevoflurane	33	NA	63
DjaianiG 2016 [18]	72.55	75.4	21.9	75.4	16.4	NA	98.99	lsoflurane	53	68.85	72.55
Leino 2011 [19]	60.86	89.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	lsoflurane	NA	NA	60.86
Li 2017 [14]	67.18	69.1	32.3	63.2	9.8	NA	102.99	Sevoflurane	69.73	48.42	67.18
Liu 2016 [20]	54.75	39.8	12.5	29.5	NA	65	71.15	Sevoflurane	NA	NA	54.75
Park 2014 [13]	53.81	55.6	9.15	27.5	NA	61.87	166.75	Sevoflurane	NA	NA	53.81
Shehabi2009 [21]	71.25	75.3	29.5	80.1	36.6	NA	98.98	Sevoflurane	NA	NA	71.25
Ammar 2016 [22]	57.25	76	68	82	NA	NA	66.2	lsoflurane	94	56	57.25
Soliman 2016 [23]	58.1	50	30.7	48.7	8.6	52.9	NA	NA	36.67	NA	58.1
Abbreviations: DM Diabe Values are given as me	etes mellitus ans unless o	, <i>HP</i> Hypertensic therwise specifi	on, <i>PreMI</i> Previ ied	ous myocardia	al infarction, LVEF	- Left ventricula	r ejection fraction, C	PB Cardiopulmona	ry bypass, NA Not avai	ilable	

als
tri
ized
dom
ran
nded
g
<u>ا</u> .
ţ
of
istic
acter
char
ient
pat
rized
nma
Sur
Ν
Ð

Liu et al. BMC Anesthesiology (2018) 18:7

Table 3 Summarized Q	uality Assessment of Include	d Randomized Trials					
Study	Random sequence generation	Allocation Concealment	Blinding of participants and personnel	Blinding of outcome assessment	Attrition bias	Selective reporting	Jadad scale
Balkanay 2015 I [17]	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	4
Balkanay 2015 II [17]	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	4
Cho 2015 [12]	Yes	Sealed envelopes	Blinding of personnel	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	4
Djaiani G 2016 [18]	Yes	Sealed envelopes	Blinding of personnel	No	Yes	Unclear	ŝ
Leino 2011 [19]	Yes	Sealed envelopes	No	Yes	Yes	Unclear	2
Li 2017 [14]	Yes	Sealed envelopes	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	2
Liu 2016 [20]	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear personnel	-
Park 2014 [13]	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	
Shehabi 2009 [21] 2009	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Unclear	Ŋ
Ammar 2016 [22]	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	4
Soliman 2016 [23]	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Unclear	Unclear	4

Trials
Randomized
Included F
Assessment of
Quality
3 Summarized



testing. All statistical analyses were performed in REV-MAN (version 5.0; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata (version 9.0; StataCorp LP).

Results

Study characteristics

Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flow chart for the RCTs screening and selection process for inclusion in this study. Ten trials enrolling 1575 study subjects ultimately met our criteria (Fig. 1). Two studies were for coronary artery bypass grafting(CABG) [17, 19], seven were for combined cardiac surgery [12–14, 18, 20–22] and 1 was for aortic vascular surgery [23]. Six trials used placebo as control [12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23], whereas two used propofol [18, 20], one used morphine [21] or remifentanil [13]. Dexmedetomidine was continuously infused at a rate of 0.2 to $0.8\mu g/kg/h$ for 24 h after a loading dose ($0.4-1\mu g/kg$) in 4 studies [13, 18, 22, 23] or infused at a rate of 0.04 to $1.5\mu g/kg/h$ without a loading dose in 6 [12, 14, 17, 19–21].

For postoperative outcomes, AKI incidence was reported in 9 trials [12–14, 17, 19–23], need for dialysis in 1 [18], mortality in 6 [12, 18, 20–23], mechanical ventilation duration in 8 [13, 14, 17–22], ICU stay in 8 [12–14, 17, 18, 20–22], and hospital stay in 6 [13, 17, 18, 20–22].

Study design and patient characteristics were summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The quality assessment was listed in Table 3.

Effect of Dexmedetomidine on incidence of AKI, and mortality

The outcome of AKI was reported in 1575 study participants, and the overall incidence was 10.48% (dexmedetomidine group, 68/788; control group, 97/787). The postoperative incidence of AKI was significantly reduced by dexmedetomidine (10 studies with 11 comparision; OR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.45–0.92; P = 0.02; $I^2 = 0.0\%$; Fig. 2). Different analysis method (Mantel-Haenszel or Inverse Variance) or different summary statistics (RR vs OR vs RD) was listed in Table 4.There was no evidence of significant publication bias (Begg's test, P = 0.22; Egger's test, P = 0.32; Fig. 3).

Subgroup analyses for the potential sources of heterogeneity were listed in Table 5. We divided study participants into 11 groups according to different characteristics such as age(year, ≥ 60 versus <60), proportion of male ($\geq 60\%$ versus <60\%), proportion with diabetes ($\geq 25\%$ versus <25\%), CPB duration(min, ≥ 100 versus <100), statin use($\geq 60\%$ versus <60\%), loading dose (use or not), continuous infusion dosing (low versus high), controlled type (placebo versus nonplacebo), administration timing (pre/ intraoperative versus postoperative), surgical type (CABG only versus combined) surgery, JADAD score (≥ 3 versus <3). Overall, no significant differences existed in the incidence of AKI (Table 5).

Sensitivity analysis excluding each included study at a time revealed that the Cho 2015 study was inconsistent with the direction and size of the overall AKI-reducing effect of dexmedetomidine (P = 0.34),and the

Table 4 Different analysis method and summary statistics for the incidence of acute kidney injury

	. analysis	method une	Juinin	ily statist		inclucified of a	ucute ma	ncy nijury	ý			
Analysis method	OR	95%CI	²	Р	RD	95%CI	²	Р	RR	95%CI	²	Р
Mantel-Haenszel	0.65	0.45,0.92	0%	0.02	-0.02	-0.04,0.01	46%	0.28	0.72	0.54,0.95	0%	0.02
Inverse Variance	0.65	0.45,0.92	0%	0.02	-0.01	-0.04,0.01	21%	0.22	0.72	0.54,0.95	0%	0.02

Abbreviations: OR Odds ratio, RR Risk ratio, RD Risk difference, CI Confidence interval



other studies were consistent with the direction and size of the overall AKI- reducing effect of dexmedetomidine (P for all <0.04).

The outcome of mortality was reported in 970 study participants, and the overall incidence was 1.5% (dexmedetomidine group, 4/487; control group, 11/483). There were no statistically significant reduction for mortality owing to perioperative dexmedetomidine (6 studies; OR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.14–1.28; P = 0.13; $I^2 = 0.0\%$; Fig. 4).

Effect of Dexmedetomidine on MV duration, ICU stay and hospital stay

A trend toward reduction of postoperative MV duration(8 studies WMD, -0.22; 95%CI, -2.04 to 1.70; P =0.81; I² = 68%; Fig. 5), ICU stay(8 studies; WMD, -0.85; 95%CI, -2.14 to 0.45; P = 0.20; I² = 0%; Fig. 6) and hospital stay (6 studies; WMD, -0.24; 95%CI, -0.71 to 0.23; P = 0.32; I² = 55%; Fig. 7) by dexmedetomidine was observed, although there were not statistically significant.

Discussion

In this meta-analysis of 10 RCTs involving 1575 adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery, we found that perioperative dexmedetomidine use was associated with a decrease in postoperative AKI risk. However, postoperative parameters including MV duration, ICU stay and hospital LOS appeared to be no significant decrease as a result of the dexmedetomidine use. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis evaluating the safety and efficacy of dexmedetomidine for the prevention of cardiac surgery associated AKI.

AKI is a common complication with an estimated incidence about 7% to 45% in adult cardiac surgery [24]. Small increases in postoperative serum creatinine levels after cardiac surgery have been reported to be associated with increased morbidity and mortality even if the renal function has returned to normal at discharge [25]. For this reason, strategies to lower the incidence of postoperative AKI are of high interest to clinicians.

Dexmedetomidine is widely used for perioperative anesthesia/analgesia, and may have a more profound renal protection by stabilizing the sympathetic system, exerting anti-inflammatory effects and attenuating ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury [10, 26]. In this meta-analysis, positive renoprotective effects were shown in 3 studies [12, 17, 22] and only 1 [12] study showed the prevention for the AKI. However, there were also controversial or negative studies pertaining to the effect of dexmedetomidine. Our analysis combining all these positive and negative studies showed a reduced incidence of AKI in association with the dexmedetomidine use. In view of the definition for AKI using conventional tests such as the blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine levels, urine output quantity and creatinine clearance rate, it may result in delay in the timely detection of kidney injury and can lead to false-negative results, and dexmedetomidine for the prevention of AKI may be more effective than the current results.

In the included trials, dexmedetomidine was used with a loading dose $(0.4\mu g/kg-1\mu g/kg)$ and continuous infusion $(0.04-0.6\mu g/kg/h)$. Balkanay enrolled adult patients undergoing CABG found a significant difference between high dose group (8 $\mu g/kg$) and low dose group (4 $\mu g/kg$) for the 24th postoperative hour in the mean values of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) [17], indicating that dexmedetomidine had marked effects on renoprotection in a dose-dependent fashion. Our subgroup analyses showed that dexmedetomidine infusion without loading dose or at low

Subgroup	Endpoint	No. of Comparisons	OR WMD	95% CI	P Value	²	P _{Difference} Value
1. Age(years)	AKI	9	0.64	0.41~ 1.01	0.06	43.8%	0.18
≥60		5	0.54	0.31~ 0.94	0.03	32%	
< 60		4	1.12	0.45~ 2.79	0.81	0%	
2. Gender(Male%)	AKI	9	0.64	0.41~ 1.01	0.06	0%	0.91
≥60		4	0.70	0.28~ 1.74	0.45	47%	
< 60		5	0.75	0.46~ 1.20	0.22	0.0%	
3. Previous DM (%)	AKI	8	0.64	0.41 ~ 1.01	0.22	0%	0.86
≥ 25		4	0.75	0.48 ~ 1.18	0.22	0%	
< 25		4	0.68	0.21 ~ 2.14	0.51	49%	
4.CPB duration(minutes)	AKI	7	0.65	0.38 ~ 1.14	0.13	0%	0.59
≥ 100		3	0.61	0.27 ~ 1.36	0.22	60%	
< 100		4	0.85	0.34 ~ 2.15	0.73	0%	
5.Statin (%)	AKI	9	0.64	0.41 ~ 1.01	0.06	43.8%	0.18
≥ 60		5	0.54	0.31~ 0.94	0.03	32%	
< 60		4	1.12	0.45 ~ 2.79	0.81	0%	
6.Loading dose use	AKI	10	0.65	0.45 ~ 0.92	0.02	0%	0.86
Yes		4	0.72	0.24 ~ 2.10	0.54	0%	
No		6	0.64	0.40 ~ 1.02	0.06	16%	
7. Continuous infusion	AKI	9	0.61	0.42 ~ 0.88	0.008	68.3%	0.08
≥ 0.1 µg/kg/h		б	0.76	0.49~ 1.18	0.22	0%	
< 0.1 µg/kg/h		3	0.37	0.19 ~ 0.72	0.003	0%	
8. Control drugs	AKI	11	0.65	0.45 ~ 0.92	0.02	0%	0.33
Placebo		7	0.60	0.40 ~ 0.89	0.01	2%	
Others		4	0.96	0.40 ~ 2.29	0.93	0%	
9. Dex administration	AKI	11	0.65	0.45 ~ 0.92	0.02	0%	0.21
Pre/Intraoperation		8	0.59	0.40 ~ 0.87	0.007	0%	
Postoperation		3	1.11	0.45 ~ 2.74	0.83	0%	
10. Surgical procedures	AKI	11	0.65	0.45 ~ 0.92	0.02	0%	0.87
CABG or Aortic surgery		4	0.72	0.24 ~ 2.16	0.56	0%	
Combined		7	0.65	0.38 ~ 1.14	0.13	33%	
11. JADAD score	AKI	11	0.65	0.45 ~ 0.92	0.02	65.7%	0.09
≥ 3		9	0.59	0.41 ~ 0.86	0.006	0%	
< 3		2	1.88	0.53 ~ 6.73	0.33	0%	

Table 5 Subgroup analyses for the potential sources of heterogeneity

Abbreviations: AKI Acute kidney injury, OR Odds ratio, CI Confidence interval, DM Diabetes mellitus, CPB Cardiopulmonary bypass, Dex Dexmedetomidine, CABG Coronary artery bypass graft



		Dex		C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Shehabi 2009	14	26.7	152	15	37.1	147	4.7%	-1.00 [-8.35, 6.35]	2009	
Leino 2011	18.57	4.13	35	19.88	4.72	31	15.5%	-1.31 [-3.46, 0.84]	2011	
Park 2014	22.72	26.36	67	18.6	19.74	75	4.3%	4.12 [-3.61, 11.85]	2014	
Ammar 2014	13.5	3.8	25	18.1	4	25	15.5%	-4.60 [-6.76, -2.44]	2014	
Balkanay I 2015	12.4	4.9	31	10.6	4.5	28	14.7%	1.80 [-0.60, 4.20]	2015	+
Balkanay II 2015	12.9	4.8	29	10.6	4.5	28	14.7%	2.30 [-0.11, 4.71]	2015	
Djaiani 2016	5.4	23.3	91	5.9	33.5	92	3.8%	-0.50 [-8.85, 7.85]	2016	
Liu 2016	21	9.47	44	21.2	9.81	44	10.0%	-0.20 [-4.23, 3.83]	2016	
Li 2017	15	7.9	142	15	6.7	143	16.9%	0.00 [-1.70, 1.70]	2017	-+
Total (95% CI)			616			613	100.0%	-0.22 [-2.04, 1.60]		-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	4.33; C	hi² = 24	.77, df=	= 8 (P =	0.002);	I ² = 689	%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.24	(P = 0.	81)							Favours [Dex] Favours [Control]
Fig. 5 Forest plot for	mechar	nical ve	ntilatic	on dura	tion					

continuous dose appeared to be safe and potentially efficacious by avoiding undesirable haemodynamic effects and was possibly more effective for renalprotection, although there was no significant difference (P = 0.86 and P = 0.08). To date, the optimal dose of dexmedetomidine to improve kidney function after cardiac surgery is unclear. The optimal dose of dexmedetomidine on postoperative renal events can't be drew because of the lack of detailed patient data. Future large and well-designed randomized trails should explore the more appropriate dose of dexmedetomidine to maximize its renal protective effect with less side effects affecting prognosis.

The timing of dexmedetomidine administration in relation to cardiac surgery is emerging as an important consideration. In 6 of 10 included trials [12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 23], dexmedetomidine was used in a preemptive strategy, and early intervention of dexmedetomidine before the cardiopulmonary bypass seems to be critical for its organ-protective effect against I/R injury [27]. Dexmedetomidine pretreatment attenuated the I/R injury by reducing inflammatory response mediated by toll-like receptor4 expression [28, 29]. Our sub-group analyses indicated that dexmedetomidine was

possibly more effective for renal-protection with pre/ intraoperative administration compared with postoperative administration, but there was no significant difference (P = 0.21).Our findings do not provide a strong guidance on this question, and it merits further investigation. Future trials in this area would most likely be of greatest benefit.

Two recent expert consensus articles on postoperative AKI have been recently published, which discussed also new possible therapies/preventive measures [30, 31]. Our results was in keeping with one of the article conducted by M. Joannidis and colleagues, which showed dexmedetomidine was promising to reduce the rate of AKI, although no recommendation can be given on the basis of current data. Our subgroup analyses showed that dexmedetomidine was possibly effective for renal-protection compared with placebo but not against other treatments(P = 0.33). The advantages of dexmedetomidine compared with other anesthetics still call for further research.

Our analysis has several disadvantages. First, AKI in cardiac surgery is common and may have several different causes. It is difficult to establish a protective role for dexmedetomidine. We were unable to access



·		Dex		C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Shehabi 2009	8	0.67	152	8	0.67	147	32.7%	0.00 [-0.15, 0.15]	2009	•
Ammar 2014	6.6	2.3	25	8.6	2.6	25	8.9%	-2.00 [-3.36, -0.64]	2014	_ _ _
Park 2014	19.96	11.76	67	18.37	8.45	75	1.8%	1.59 [-1.81, 4.99]	2014	
Balkanay II 2015	8.4	1.6	29	7.9	1.7	28	16.0%	0.50 [-0.36, 1.36]	2015	+
Balkanay I 2015	7.5	1.7	31	7.9	1.7	28	15.8%	-0.40 [-1.27, 0.47]	2015	
Djaiani 2016	7	5.16	91	7	11.67	92	3.0%	0.00 [-2.61, 2.61]	2016	
Liu 2016	13.5	1.43	44	14	1.5	44	21.6%	-0.50 [-1.11, 0.11]	2016	
Total (95% CI)			439			439	100.0%	-0.24 [-0.71, 0.23]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	= 0.17; C	hi ² = 13	.47, df=	= 6 (P =	0.04); l ^a	= 55%				
Test for overall effect:	: Z = 1.00) (P = 0.	32)							Favours [Dex] Favours [Control]
Fig. 7 Forest plot for	hospita	l length	n of sta	iy						

individual patient data, so the influences of age, sex, and other confounding factors may be underestimated. Second, the definition of AKI was not uniform in the included trials. Third, sample size in each study is relatively low, so future large clinical studies were needed. Fourth, the exclusion of non-English studies may be inappropriate, however, the assessment of publication bias did not show statistical significance. Fifth, Bland [32] and Kwon & Reis [33] have argued that the statistical method of Hozo et al. may have limited their statistical ability to detect differences. When samples are not normally distributed. So the effect of dexmedetomidine may be overestimation, especially for negative findings. Last, perioperative dexmedetomidine might be of most benefit for certain patients who are at different stage of AKI, but most of the included study did not report the existed renal impairment before surgery.

Conclusion

In summary, available evidence from the present metaanalysis suggests that perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine in adult cardiac surgery might reduce the incidence of AKI. Future trials are needed to be much larger and ascertain the optimal dose and, more importantly, the time of the dose, especially in patients with decreased kidney function at baseline.

Abbreviations

AKI: Acute kidney injury; AKIN: Acute Kidney Injury Network; CI: Confidence interval; I/R: Ischemia/reperfusion; ICU: Intensive care unit; KDIGO: Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes; OR: Odds ratio; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis; RCTs: Randomized controlled trials; RIFLE: Risk–Injury–Failure–Loss–End-stage renal disease; WMD: Weighted mean difference

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This work was supported by Beijing Shijitan Hospital Youth Funds (grant no. 2016-q08).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

Study design: YL; data acquisition: BS and SZW; data analysis/interpretation: YL, FPL and JZ; supervision or mentorship: WC. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts accountability for the overall work by ensuring that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. YL and WC take responsibility that this study has been reported honestly, accurately, and transparently. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This meta-analysis was approved by the Institutional Review Board in Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 9 August 2017 Accepted: 4 January 2018 Published online: 15 January 2018

References

- Rosner MH, Okusa MD. Acute kidney injury associated with cardiac surgery. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;1:19–32.
- Ortega-Loubon C, Fernández-Molina M, Carrascal-Hinojal Y, Fulquet-Carreras E. Cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury. Ann Card Anaesth. 2016; 19:687–98.
- Makris K, Spanou L. Acute kidney injury: definition, pathophysiology and clinical phenotypes. Clin Biochem Rev. 2016;37:85–98.
- O'Neal JB, Shaw AD, Billings FT 4th. Acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery: current understanding and future directions. Crit Care. 2016;20:187.
- Stafford-Smith M, Podgoreanu M, Swaminathan M, et al. Association of genetic polymorphisms with risk of renal injury after coronary bypass graft surgery. Am J Kidney Dis. 2005;45:519–30.
- Elmistekawy E, McDonald B, Hudson C, et al. Clinical impact of mild acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. Ann Thorac Surg. 2014;98:815–22.
- Xu J, Jiang W, Fang Y, Teng J, Ding X. Management of Cardiac Surgery-Associated Acute Kidney Injury. Contrib Nephrol. 2016;187:131–42.
- Shin SR, Kim WH, Kim DJ, Shin IW, Sohn JT. Prediction and prevention of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. Biomed Res Int. 2016;2016:2985148.
- Giovannitti JA Jr, Thoms SM, Crawford JJ. Alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonists: a review of current clinical applications. Anesth Prog. 2015;62:31–9.

- 10. Gu J, Sun P, Zhao H, et al. Dexmedetomidine provides renoprotection against ischemia-reperfusion injury in mice. Crit Care. 2011;15:R153.
- Tan F, Chen Y, Yuan D, Gong C, Li X, Zhou S. Dexmedetomidine protects against acute kidney injury through downregulating inflammatory reactions in endotoxemia rats. Biomed Rep. 2015;3:365–70.
- Cho JS, Shim JK, Soh S, Kim MK, Kwak YL. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces the incidence and severity of acute kidney injury following valvular heart surgery. Kidney Int. 2016;89:693–700.
- Park JB, Bang SH, Chee HK, Kim JS, Lee SA, Shin JK. Efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine for postoperative delirium in adult cardiac surgery on cardiopulmonary bypass. Korean J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2014;47:249–54.
- Li X, Yang J, Nie XL, et al. Impact of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of delirium in elderly patients after cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0170757.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, The ADG, PRISMA group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6:e1000097.
- Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2005;5(1): 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-5-13.
- Balkanay OO, Goksedef D, Omeroglu SN, Ipek G. The dose-related effects of dexmedetomidine on renal functions and serum neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin values after coronary artery bypass grafting: a randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled study. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2015;20:209–14.
- Djaiani G, Silverton N, Fedorko L, et al. Dexmedetomidine versus Propofol sedation reduces delirium after cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Anesthesiology. 2016;124:362–8.
- Leino K, Hynynen M, Jalonen J, Salmenperä M, Scheinin H, Aantaa R. Renal effects of dexmedetomidine during coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized placebo-controlled study. BMC Anesthesiol. 2011;11:9.
- Liu X, Zhang K, Wang W, Xie G, Fang X. Dexmedetomidine sedation reduces atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery compared to propofol: a randomized controlled trial. Crit Care. 2016;20:298.
- Shehabi Y, Grant P, Wolfenden H, et al. Prevalence of delirium with dexmedetomidine compared with morphine based therapy after cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial (DEXmedetomidine COmpared to morphine-DEXCOM study). Anesthesiology. 2009;111:1075–84.
- Ammar AS, Mahmoud KM, Kasemy ZA, Helwa MA. Cardiac and renal protective effects of dexmedetomidine in cardiac surgeries: a randomized controlled trial. Saudi J Anaesth. 2016;10:395–401.
- Soliman R, Zohry G. The myocardial protective effect of dexmedetomidine in high-risk patients undergoing aortic vascular surgery. Ann Card Anaesth. 2016;19:606–13.
- Lau G, Wald R, Sladen R, Mazer CD. Acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery and cardiac intensive care. Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2015;19:270–87.
- Lassnigg A, Schmid ER, Hiesmayr M, et al. Impact of minimal increases in serum creatinine on outcome in patients after cardiothoracic surgery: do we have to revise current definitions of acute renal failure? Crit Care Med. 2008;36:1129–37.
- Ueki M, Kawasaki T, Habe K, Hamada K, Kawasaki C, Sata T. The effects of dexmedetomidine on inflammatory mediators after cardiopulmonary bypass. Anaesthesia. 2014;69:693–700.
- 27. Zhang XY, Liu ZM, Wen SH, et al. Dexmedetomidine administration before, but not after, ischemia attenuates intestinal injury induced by intestinal ischemia-reperfusion in rats. Anesthesiology. 2012;116:1035–46.
- Liu G, Song H, Qiu L, et al. Dexmedetomidine preconditioning inhibits the long term inflammation induced by renal ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats. Acta Cir Bras. 2016;31:8–14.
- 29. Lempiäinen J, Finckenberg P, Mervaala EE, et al. Dexmedetomidine preconditioning ameliorates kidney ischemia-reperfusion injury. Pharmacol Res Perspect. 2014;2:e0004.
- Joannidis M, Druml W, Forni LG, et al. Prevention of acute kidney injury and protection of renal function in the intensive care unit: update 2017: Expert opinion of the Working Group on Prevention, AKI section, European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(6):730-49. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-4832-y.
- Bellomo R, Ronco C, Mehta RL, et al. Acute kidney injury in the ICU: from injury to recovery: reports from the 5th Paris International Conference. Ann Intensive Care. 2017;7(1):49. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-017-0260-y.

- Bland M. Estimating mean and standard deviation from the sample size, three quartiles, minimum, and maximum. Int J Stat Med Res. 2015;4:57–64. https://doi.org/10.6000/1929-6029.2015.04.01.6.
- Kwon D, Reis IM. Simulation-based estimation of mean and standard deviation for meta-analysis via approximate Bayesian computation (ABC). BMC Med Res Methodol. 2015;15:61. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-015-0055-5.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

